Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/851,763	RYGAARD, CHRISTOPER A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Poltorak	2134
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: ·
(1) <u>Peter Poltorak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Kirkland</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 5 July 2006	Time:	
	⊠ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-16, 18-19, 21-22, 2	24-25, 27-28, 30-31, 33-34, 36-40 and	47.
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	• •	•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The examiner will provide a separate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		
JACQUES LOUIS-JACQUES		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Terms: "sensitive" and "vulnerable" have been discussed. Applicant's representative advised that the terms should be treated as disclosed in the specification, in particular on pg. 3,7 and 9. Furthermore claim 1 were authorized to be amended in order to address the lack of antecedent basis and permission to make same by Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mark Kirkland on 07/05/2006.